

Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators (IEEI)

The IEEI requests brief narrative information for each degree program, for general education (if applicable), and for the institution as a whole. The IEEI provides a comprehensive overview of the institution's assessment processes that teams, the Commission, and the institution itself may use to evaluate educational effectiveness.

*The relevant definition of "program" as presented in the glossary of the 2013 Handbook is "a systematic, usually sequential, grouping of courses that forms a considerable part, or all, of the requirements for a degree in a major or professional field."

How can institutions use this exhibit? Institutions will want to be explicit about expectations for student learning and to ensure that every degree program has in place a quality assurance system for assessing, tracking, and improving the learning of its students. This exhibit can assist institutions in determining the extent to which they have assessment systems in place, and what additional components or processes they may need to develop. Institutions may draw upon or reference this document in preparing institutional reports.

Why is WSCUC interested in this information? An institution committed to student achievement and educational effectiveness will have in place a system for collecting and using evidence to set standards of student performance and to improve learning. The indicators asked for in this exhibit reflect how an institution approaches quality assurance and improvement systematically. Institutions submit the IEEI to WSCUC as follows:

- Reaffirmation and Seeking Initial Accreditation The evaluation team will review the institution's IEEI to help understand how comprehensively and successfully the institution addresses both the quality of its students' learning and the quality of the learning and assessment infrastructure. Teams and institutions are encouraged to treat this exhibit as a developmental document: the institution can indicate what activities it already engages in and what remains to be done.
- Mid-Cycle Review Institutions submit an update of their IEEI with the Annual Report in the year of the institution's Mid-Cycle Review as a set of indicators related to educational effectiveness and student achievement.
- Interim Reports Institutions submitting Interim Reports concerned with educational effectiveness submit an updated IEEI with their report when requested by the Commission.

What 2013 Standards are addressed by this exhibit?

The indicators listed in this exhibit collectively demonstrate an institution's commitment to quality assurance and improvement of educational results over time (CFRs 4.1, 4.3, and 4.4). Specific standards related to academic quality and effectiveness are addressed by the IEEI as follows:

- Educational objectives are widely recognized throughout the institution, are consistent with stated purposes, and are demonstrably achieved (CFR 1.2)
- All degrees have clearly defined levels of student achievement (CFR 2.2)
- Undergraduate programs ensure the development of core competencies (CFR 2.2.a)
- Graduate programs establish clearly stated objectives (CFR 2.2.b)
- Student learning outcomes and standards of performance are clearly stated at the course, program, and, as appropriate, institutional level (CFR 2.3)
- Learning outcomes and standards of performance are developed by faculty, who take collective responsibility for establishing appropriate standards of performance and demonstrating through assessment the achievement of these standards (CFR 2.4)
- The institution demonstrates that its graduates consistently achieve its stated learning outcomes and established standards of performance (CFR 2.6)
- All programs offered by the institution undergo systematic program review, which includes analyses of student achievement of the program's learning outcomes; retention and graduation rates; and, where appropriate, results of licensing examination and placement, and evidence from external constituencies such as employers and professional organizations (CFR 2.7).

Rev. 4/14/15

The University of the South Pacific Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes (program specific graduate outcomes been developed? Yes/No	Where are these learning outcomes published (e.g., catalog, syllabi, course outlines, documents of programs which are accredited, external advisers report/recommen dations, other materials)? (Please think of possible sources and state as many as possible)	Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree? (e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination, student evaluation courses)?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program.
At the institutional level:	Yes. The institution has Graduate Attributes, which have been converted into measurable Graduate Outcomes as stated in CFR 1.2 and CFR 2.2	The Graduate Attributes are published in: Annual Handbook and Calendar (inside front cover) Strategic Plan 2013-2018 (p. 19) Annual Reports (p. 3) Prospectus 2018 (p. 4) Learning, Teaching & Student Services (LTSS) website	Direct: Assessments for all individual courses for each programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Assessments for designated capstone courses or combination of courses at the final year of the degree programme that indicate achievement of the capstone level of performance Assessment for the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204)	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the Programme/ Discipline levels: Programme Leaders for some programmes and/ or dedicated Discipline Coordinators At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching),	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The use of feedback received though SEC and other surveys to inform teaching and learning practice is monitored through the Quality of Teaching measures (see CFR 2.4, CFR 3.2 and CFR 4.1). At the Programme/ Discipline level Academic staff discuss the recommendations of	The USP Graduate Outcomes definitions and corresponding rubrics were developed in 2012	The USP Graduate Outcomes definitions and corresponding rubrics were reviewed and revised in 2017. The University Senate approved the revised definitions and rubrics in 2 nd Meeting of 2017.

•	Programme
	Accreditation
	& External
	Programme
	Self-Review
	Reports

The Graduate
Outcomes are
published in:

- LTSS <u>website</u>
- Course
 Outlines for individual courses
- Programme
 Accreditation
 & External
 Programme
 Self-Review
 Reports

Indirect:

- Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS)
- Graduate
 Destination Survey
 (GDS)
- Total Experience Survey (TES)
- Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey

Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board

At the Institutional level: Teaching Quality Committee (TQC) and Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Learning, Teaching & Student Services)

There is a mandatory Moderation Process, both around the design of final examinations and for marked examination papers (refer to CFR 2.6 and CFR 4.4). The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).

External Interpretation:

Academic Quality Audit (AQA); Programme Accrediting Bodies and External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers the Accrediting Bodies and Programme Reviewers and how to revise the programme and courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC are also used in improving the programme.

At the School & Faculty levels:
The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the

changes/recommendation

At the Institutional level
The institution plans and documents its response to the recommendation of the external auditors such as AQA. The TQC monitors the implementation of the recommendations.

The University of the South Pacific Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

The Faculty of Arts, Law and Education (FALE) offers the following Bachelor degree programmes and these are presented in turn:

3-year Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a defined sequence of courses linked to a particular choice of majors and/or minors):

Bachelor of Arts (Majors and/or Minors include: Education, History, Journalism, Law, Linguistics, Literature, Literature and Language, Pacific Language Studies, Language Tracks, Pacific Literature, Pacific Policing, Pacific Vernacular Language, Psychology, Social Work (Accredited Programme), Sociology, Technical and Vocational Education and Training)

3-year Prescribed Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a pre-planned sequence of courses): Bachelor of Education In-Service

4-year Prescribed Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a pre-planned sequence of courses): Bachelor of Laws

4-year Combined Bachelor Programmes:

Bachelor of Arts and Graduate Certificate in Education

Bachelor of Commerce and Graduate Certificate in Education

Bachelor of Science and Graduate Certificate in Education

5-year Combined Bachelor Programmes: Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Laws Bachelor of Commerce and Bachelor of Laws

: : :	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Three Year Back Bachelor of Arts							
	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic	2010	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers; Scholarship Providers	implementation of the changes/recommendations.		
History	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers and Ministry of Education			
Journalism	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2019

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Law	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Internships assessed by external supervisors Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators, Teaching Team and Peer Mentors At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2012	2020

Linguistics Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2021
-----------------	---	---	---	--	------	------

Literature	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES)	External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the	2010	2018
	Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course	 Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) 	The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the	Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is			

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Literature and Language	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Pacific Language Studies	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2021

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Pacific Policing	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major (PP300: Action Research in a Policing Environment; PP30: Police Leadership and Command Management; PP302: Ethical Dimensions in Policing) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	The programme has never undergone external review.	It has not been determined when the programme will undergo external review.

Perif	V	The Day	Dinat	External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	Asth. Comp. In 1	
Pacific Vernacular Language Fijian	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers; I-Taukei Trust Fund			
Pacific Vernacular Language Hindi	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	Has not undergone external review	To be determined

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Psychology	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Social Work	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (Australian Community Workers Association, ACWA) Course at capstone level in the final year of this major (SW300: Fieldwork Practice 2, which integrates social work theory and practice) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2017	2018

Socialogy	Vac	The Droggener	Discoti	External Interpretation: ACWA; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	At the Course level	2000	2017
Sociology	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Course at capstone level in the final year of this major (SO300: Research Methods in Sociology) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2009	2017

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Technical and Vocational Education Food and Nutrition Studies Strand	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Course at capstone level in the final year of this major (TE313: Applied Nutrition in the South Pacific) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Technical and Vocational Education Technology	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

External	
Interpretation:	
External Programm	me
Reviewers;	
Programme Adviso	ory
Committee (PAC)	
including employer	

Category Three Year	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
	Education In-Se						
Early Childhood Education and Care	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website Programme Accreditatio n Self- Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (Australian Children's Education & Care Quality Authority, ACECQA) Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the	2017	2021

Primary	Yes	The Programme	Direct:	External Interpretation: ACECQA; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation	implementation of the changes/recommendations. At the Course level:	2010	2018
Education		Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	& Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.		

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Special and Inclusive Education	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Secondary Education	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2018

External	
Interpretation:	
External Programme	
Reviewers;	
Programme Advisory	
Committee (PAC)	
including employers	

Category Four Vear Pre	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Bachelor of Laws	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Internships assessed by external supervisors Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators, Teaching Team and Peer Mentors At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2012	2020

	External	
	Interpretation:	
	External Programme	
	Reviewers;	
	Programme Advisory	
	Committee (PAC)	
	including employers	

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Four Year Con	nbined Bachel	lor Programmes					
Bachelor of					ertificate in Education (a non-degre		
Arts and	Practicum, wl	hich involves a 17-we	ek School Practicum. Assessme	ents included are componer	nts of teaching and observations of	teaching, Assoc	ciate Teacher's
Graduate	and Principal	's observations, includ	ling Lecturers' observation.				
Certificate in							
Education							
Bachelor of	Refer to indiv	vidual Bachelor of Cor	nmerce Programmes. The Cap	stone course for the Gradu	uate Certificate in Education (a non	-degree progran	nme) is
Commerce	ED300: Pract	ticum, which involves	a 17-week School Practicum. A	Assessments included are co	omponents of teaching and observe	ations of teaching	ng, Associate
and Graduate	Teacher's and	l Principal's observation	ons, including Lecturers' observ	ation.			
Certificate in		_	-				
Education							
Bachelor of	Refer to indiv	vidual Bachelor of Scie	ence Programmes. The Capstor	ne course for the Graduate	Certificate in Education (a non-de	gree programme	e) is ED300:
Science and	Practicum, wl	hich involves a 17-we	ek School Practicum. Assessme	ents included are componer	nts of teaching and observations of	teaching, Assoc	iate Teacher's
Graduate			ling Lecturers' observation.	1		<u></u>	
Certificate in	1	ŕ					
Education							

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Five Year Com	nbined Bachel	or Programmes					
Bachelor of	Refer to Sect	ions on individual Bac	helor of Arts and Bachelor of L	aws Programmes			
Arts and							
Bachelor of							
Laws							
Bachelor of	Refer to Sect	ions on individual Bac	helor of Commerce and Bachelo	or of Laws Programmes			
Commerce				- J			
and Bachelor							
of Laws							

The University of the South Pacific Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

The Faculty of Business and Economics (FBE) offers the following Bachelor degree programmes and these are presented in turn:

3-year Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a defined sequence of courses linked to a particular choice of majors and/or minors):

Bachelor of Arts (Majors and/or Minors include: Politics)

Bachelor of Commerce (Majors and/or Minors include: Accounting (Accredited Programme), Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, Banking, Economics, Finance, Human Resource Management and Employment Relations, International Business and Marketing, Land Management, Management and Public Administration, Official Statistics, Population Studies and Demography, Tourism Management)

3-year Prescribed Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a pre-planned sequence of courses):
Bachelor of Agriculture
Bachelor of Commerce in Professional Accounting
Bachelor of Commerce in Tourism and Hospitality Management (Accredited Programme)

4-year Prescribed Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a pre-planned sequence of courses): Bachelor of Commerce in Hotel Management (Accredited Programme)

Category Three Year P	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? Bachelor Progra	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
	Arts with a majo						
Politics	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places:	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the	2011	2018

External	implementation of the	
Interpretation:	changes/recommendations.	
External Programme		
Reviewers;		
Programme Advisory		
Committee (PAC)		
including employers		

Category Three Year Bach	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Bachelor of Com							
Accounting	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website Programme Accreditation & External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (CPA Australia) Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major (AF301: Accounting Theory and Applications) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the	2014	2017

Agricultural Economics and	Yes	The Programme Graduate	Direct: • Assessments for all	External Interpretation: CPA Australia; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation & Processes:	implementation of the changes/recommendations. At the Course level: Interpretation of the	2015	To be determined
Agribusiness		Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes • Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes • Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: • Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) • Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) • Total Experience Survey (TES) • Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey • Opinion Survey of employers of graduates (e.g. Ministries of Agriculture, Planning, Banks, etc.)	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.		

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Banking	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2011	2019

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Economics	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2013	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Finance	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2011	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Human Resource Management and Employment Relations	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	To be determined

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
International Business and Marketing	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	This major has not been reviewed previously	2018

Land	Yes	The Programme	Direct:	External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation	At the Course level:	2016	2020
Management	i es	Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2010	2020

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Management and Public Administration	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	To be determined

Official Yes Statistics	The Programme Graduate	Direct:	External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation & Processes:	At the Course level: Interpretation of the	2014	2019
Statistics	Outcomes feature in the following places:	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.		

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Population and Demography	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2013	2018

Tourism Management	Yes	The Programme Graduate	Direct: • Assessments for all	External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers Internal Interpretation & Processes:	At the Course level: Interpretation of the	2017	2018
		Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (THE-ICE) Courses at capstone level in the final year of this major (TS309: Tourism Business Operations; TS310: Tourism in Less Developed Countries; TS311: Sustainable Tourism Development) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are assessed on their performance during internships in a range of tourism & hospitality contexts by external supervisory personnel Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS)	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.		

Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Survey (GDS) Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	
---	--

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Bachelor of A		elor Programmes					
Bachelor of Agriculture	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature	Direct:Assessments for all individual courses in this	Internal Interpretation & Processes:	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the	2015	To be determined
Agribusiness		in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes. Courses at capstone level in the final year of this programme evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes. Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Opinion Survey of employers of graduates (e.g. Ministries of Agriculture, Planning, Banks, etc.)	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the		

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	implementation of the changes/recommendations.		
Bachelor of Agriculture Applied Science	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes Courses at capstone level in the final year of this programme evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Opinion Survey of employers of graduates (e.g. Ministries of Agriculture, Planning, Banks, etc.) 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2015	To be determined

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Commerce in Professional Accounting	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website Programme Accreditatio n & External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (CPA Australia) Courses at capstone level in the final year of this programme (AF301: Accounting Theory and Applications) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	2017

				External Interpretation: CPA Australia; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Commerce in Tourism and Hospitality Management	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website Programme Accreditatio n Self- Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (THE-ICE) Courses at capstone level in the final year of this programme (TS302: Strategic Services Management in Hospitality; TS309: Tourism Business Operations; TS310: Tourism in Less Developed Countries; TS311: Sustainable Tourism Development) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are assessed on their performance during internships in a range of tourism & hospitality contexts by external supervisory personnel Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204)	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2017	2018

Indirect:	External		
 Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey 	Interpretation: THE-ICE; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers		

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
	cribed Bachel	or Programmes					
Bachelor of Commerce in Hotel Management	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website Programme Accreditation Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (THE-ICE) Courses at capstone level in the final year of this programme (TS302: Strategic Services Management in Hospitality; TS303: Food & Beverage Operations Practical; TS304: Front Office & Sales Marketing Practical; TS309: Tourism Business Operations; TS311: Sustainable Tourism Development) evaluate the achievement of programme graduate outcomes Students are assessed on their performance during internships in a range of tourism & hospitality contexts by external supervisory personnel	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2017	2018

Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect:	External Interpretation: THE-ICE; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers		
• Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS)			
 Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) 			
• Total Experience Survey (TES)			
• Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey			

The University of the South Pacific Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

The Faculty of Science, Technology and Environment (FSTE) offers the following Bachelor degree programmes and these are presented in turn:

3-year Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a defined sequence of courses linked to a particular choice of majors and/or minors):

Bachelor of Arts (Majors and/or Minors include: Computing Science, Geography, Information Systems and Mathematics)

Bachelor of Commerce (Majors and/or Minors include: Information Systems)

Bachelor of Science (Majors and/or Minors include: Biology, Chemistry, Computing Science, Electrical/Electronic Engineering, Geography, Geospatial Science, Information Systems, Mathematics, Physics)

3-year Prescribed Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a pre-planned sequence of courses):

Bachelor of Arts (Environment Management)

Bachelor of Arts (Marine Management)

Bachelor of Geospatial Science

Bachelor of Science (Environmental Science)

Bachelor of Science (Marine Science)

4-year Prescribed Bachelor Programmes (in these programmes, students follow a pre-planned sequence of courses):

Bachelor of Engineering (Electrical & Electronics) (Accredited Programme)

Bachelor of Engineering (Mechanical) (Accredited Programme)

Bachelor of Net-Centric Computing (Accredited Programme)

Bachelor of Software Engineering (Accredited Programme)

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Three Year Bachelor of A							
Computing Science	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the	2013	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	implementation of the changes/recommendations.		
Geography	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	2019

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Information Systems	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2013	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Mathematics	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2012	2018

External	
Interpretation:	
External Programm	me
Reviewers;	
Programme Adviso	ory
Committee (PAC)	
including employer	

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
	achelor Progra						
Bachelor of C	ommerce with	a major in:					
Information Systems	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the	2013	2018

	External	implementation of the	
	Interpretation:	changes/recommendations.	
	External Programme		
	Reviewers;		
	Programme Advisory		
	Committee (PAC)		
	including employers		

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
	achelor Progra						
	cience with a r						
Biology	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2017 (in progress)	2022

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Chemistry	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Computer Science	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey (Mid-semester feedback survey)	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2013	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Electrical/ Electronic Engineering	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2017	Checking Programme viability

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Geography	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	2019

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Geospatial Science	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	2019

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Information Systems	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100A, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2013	2018

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Mathematics	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website • External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2012	2019

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Physics	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this major are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of this major, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this major undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the major and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2017	2022

External Interpretation:	
External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	
moraum g emproyette	

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
		nelor Programmes					
Bachelor of							
Bachelor of Arts (Environ- mental Manage- ment)	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the	2014	2020

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	implementation of the changes/recommendations.		
Bachelor of Arts (Marine Manage- ment)	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2012	2019

External
Interpretation:
External Programme
Reviewers;
Programme Advisory
Committee (PAC)
including employers

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
		nelor Programmes					
Bachelor of S	Yes	The Programme	Direct:	Internal Interpretation	At the Course level:	2014	2020
Science (Environ- mental Science) Biology Pathway		Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.		

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Science (Environmental Science) Earth Science Pathway	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2014	2020

				External Interpretation: External Programme Reviewers; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Science (Marine Science)	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website External Programme Self-Review Reports The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Direct: Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations of the Programme Reviewers and how to revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response and action plan for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2012	2019

External	
Interpretation:	
External Programme	
Reviewers;	
Programme Advisory	
Committee (PAC)	
including employers	

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	(3) Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
		elor Programmes					
Bachelor of Bachelor of Geospatial Science Geospatial Pathway	Geospatial Scien Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes	New programme	2018

				External Interpretation: Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Geospatial Science Geospatial Developer Pathway	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: • Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme • LTSS website The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	 Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes and Programme Graduate Outcomes There is a dedicated capstone course (SC356: Research Skills) at the final year of the programme, in which all outcomes are set at the capstone level of performance Students are also assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204) Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey 	Internal Interpretation & Processes: At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in the programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional). External Interpretation: Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes	New programme	2018

Category	(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?	(2) Where are these learning outcomes published?	Other than GPA, what data / evidence are used to determine that graduates have achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	(4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?	(5) How are the findings used?	(6) Date of the last program review for this degree program.	(7) Date of the next program review for this degree program
Four Year Pres	scribed Bache	lor Programmes					
Bachelor of Engineering (Electrical and Electronics)	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places: Alignment table in the course outlines for individual courses in programme LTSS website Programme Accreditation Self-Review Report The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand, IPENZ, which is a signatory to Washington Accord) There are dedicated capstone courses (EE388: Project 1 & EE399: Project 2) at the final year of this programme. These involve utilizing skills and knowledge gained throughout the programme to conduct research under the supervision of academic staff. Students are assessed in one of the four mandatory generic courses (UU114) Students are also assessed on 800 hours of industrial work experience through EN001 course.	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations from IPENZ and revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response for addressing the recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2016	2019

		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	External Interpretation: IPENZ; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Engineering (Mechanical)	Grac Oute in the place • The Lear Oute requestion the the control of the control	duate comes feature ne following	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand, IPENZ, which is a signatory to Washington Accord) There are dedicated capstone courses (EE388: Project 1 & EE399: Project 2) at the final year of this programme. These involve utilizing skills and knowledge gained throughout the programme to conduct research under the supervision of academic staff. Students are assessed in one of the four mandatory generic courses (UU114) Students are also assessed on 800 hours	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations from IPENZ and revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.	2016	2019

			of industrial work experience through EN001 course Indirect: Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS) Graduate Destination Survey (GDS) Total Experience Survey (TES) Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback survey	External Interpretation: IPENZ; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers			
Bachelor of Net-Centric Computing	Yes	The Programme Graduate Outcomes feature in the following places:	Assessments for all individual courses in this programme are informed by the USP Graduate Outcomes, Programme Graduate Outcomes and requirements of the Accrediting Body (Australian Computer Society, ACS, which is a signatory to Seoul Accord) There is a dedicated capstone course (CS400: Industry Experience Project) at the final year of this programme. This course provides an environment in which students can develop professional skills and apply their skills acquired during their three years of studies to solve a real-life problem, which will be provided by a client	At the Course level: Course Coordinators & Teaching Team At the School level: Heads of Schools/Deputy Heads of Schools & School Boards of Studies At the Faculty level: Associate Dean (Learning & Teaching), Associate Dean (Planning & Quality) and Dean; Faculty Assessment Board The courses in this programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process. The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all	At the Course level: Interpretation of the assessment results through the moderation process and individual reflection by the Course Coordinators are used to inform the review of courses and plan for the next iteration. The feedback received though SEC and other surveys including the newly introduced mid-semester feedback survey is used to inform teaching and learning practice. At the Discipline level: Academic staff discuss the recommendations from ACS and revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses. At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic	2015	2017

•	Programme
	Accreditation
	Self-Review
	Report

The Course Learning Outcomes are required to feature in the individual course outlines

- a signatory to Seoul Accord)
- There is a dedicated capstone course (CS400: Industry Experience Project) at the final year of this programme. This course provides an environment in which students can develop professional skills and apply their skills acquired during their three years of studies to solve a real-life problem, which will be provided by a client from ICT industry in the Pacific region, including small and medium enterprises (SMEs), or community organizations and NGOs
- Students are assessed in the four mandatory generic courses (UU100, UU104, UU200 & UU204)
- Students are also required to develop a programme-wide ePortfolio, which is offered through the CS001: Foundations of Professional Practice course.

Indirect:

- Employer Satisfaction Survey (ESS)
- Graduate Destination Survey (GDS)
- Total Experience Survey (TES)

At the Faculty level:
Associate Dean
(Learning & Teaching),
Associate Dean
(Planning & Quality) and
Dean; Faculty
Assessment Board

The courses in this programme undergo the mandatory Moderation Process.

The Quality of Teaching measures verify the alignment between the course assessments and learning outcomes at all levels (course, programme and institutional).

External Interpretation:

ACS; Programme Advisory Committee (PAC) including employers is used to inform teaching and learning practice.

At the Discipline level:
Academic staff discuss the recommendations from ACS and revise the courses accordingly. This is documented in a formal response for addressing the recommendations. The recommendations of PAC is also used in improving the programme and its courses.

At the School & Faculty levels: The School Boards of Studies and Faculty Academic Standards & Quality Committee monitor the implementation of the changes/recommendations.

 Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC) Survey Mid-semester feedback
survey